

Generalized Singular Spectrum Time Series Analysis

Martin Nilsson
EES-6, MS T003
Los Alamos National Laboratory
nilsson@lanl.gov

February 2, 2008

Abstract

This paper is a study of continuous time Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA). We show that the principal eigenfunctions are solutions to a set of linear ODEs with constant coefficients. We also introduce a natural generalization of SSA, constructed using local (Lie-) transformation groups. The time translations used in standard SSA is a special case. The eigenfunctions then satisfy a simple type of linear ODE with time dependent coefficient, determined by the infinitesimal generator of the transformation group. Finally, more general one parameter mappings are considered.

Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA) is a relatively recent method for nonlinear time series analysis. The original idea behind SSA was first presented by Broomhead and King [1], in the context of time series embedding. During the last decade this technique has been very successful and has become a standard tool in many different scientific fields, such as climatic [2], meteorological [3], and astronomical [4] time series analysis. For introductions to the SSA technique, see e.g., [5, 6].

In practical applications, a time series is a result of a sampled measurement, and is there-

fore discrete. This paper is a theoretical study of SSA, and it is therefore more natural to consider the general case of continuous time. We start the paper by a (semi) formal expansion of the SSA procedure to continuous time.

Let $f(t)$ be a function representing a continuous time signal on an interval $\Omega_T = [0, T]$. We assume that $f(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega_T)$, where $\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega_T)$ is a Hilbert space with an inner product defined as $(f, g)_{\Omega_T} = \int_{\Omega_T} dt f(t) \overline{g(t)}$ and a norm $\|f\|_{\Omega} = \sqrt{(f, f)_{\Omega}}$. We define a *trajectory function* $X(\xi, t)$

$$X(\xi, t) = f(\xi + t) \quad (1)$$

where $t \in \Omega_W = [0, W]$ and $\xi \in \Omega_{T-W} = [0, T - W]$. The parameter W is fixed and referred to as the *window length*. By construction $X(\xi, t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega_{T-W}) \times \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega_W)$ and the norm is defined as $\|X\|^2 = \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi \int_{\Omega_W} dt X(\xi, t) \overline{X(\xi, t)}$.

A Schmidt decomposition (the continuous equivalent to a Singular Value Decomposition of a matrix) of the trajectory function is defined as

$$X(\xi, t) = \sum_{k=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_k} v_k(\xi) u_k(t) \quad (2)$$

where d is referred to as the rank (which is often infinite). All partial sums, $k = 1$ to $\tilde{d}$, are

optimal $\tilde{d}$ -rank $\mathcal{L}_2$ -approximations of $X(\xi, t)$, i.e., the functions $v_k(\xi)$ and $u_k(t)$ fulfills

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \|X(\xi, t) - \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{d}} \sqrt{\lambda_k} v_k(\xi) u_k(t)\| \quad (3)$$

Without loss of generality, the functions $v_k(\xi)$ and $u_k(t)$ can be assumed to be normalized, $\|u_k\| = \|v_k\| = 1$. Eq. 3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \int_{\Omega_W} dt X(\xi, t) \overline{u_k(t)} \\ u_k(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi X(\xi, t) \overline{v_k(\xi)} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

together with the orthogonality conditions $(v_k, v_l)_{\Omega_{T-W}} = \delta_{kl}$ and $(u_k, u_l)_{\Omega_T} = \delta_{kl}$. The two relations in Eq. 4 can be combined into eigenvalue problems for the functions $u_k(t)$ respective $v_k(\xi)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_W} dt' S(t, t') u_k(t') &= \lambda_k u_k(t) \\ \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi' R(\xi, \xi') v_k(\xi') &= \lambda_k v_k(\xi) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the t -covariance function $S(t, t')$ and the ξ -covariance function $R(\xi, \xi')$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, \xi') &= \int_{\Omega_W} dt X(\xi, t) \overline{X(\xi', t)} \\ S(t, t') &= \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi X(\xi, t) \overline{X(\xi, t')} \end{aligned}$$

From Eq. 5 it is clear that $v_k(\xi)$ and $u_k(t)$ are eigenfunctions to two compact, linear and symmetric integral operators R and S with kernels $R(\xi, \xi')$ and $S(t, t')$. The spectral theorem then guarantees $v_k(\xi)$ and $u_k(t)$ to form complete orthogonal bases in $\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega_{T-W})/\mathcal{N}(R)$ respective $\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega_T)/\mathcal{N}(S)$ (where $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ denotes a null-space). The eigenvalues λ_k are real and non-negative, and measure the variance of $X(\xi, t)$ in the “direction”

defined by $v_k(\xi)$ and $u_k(t)$. Note the close correspondence to principal component analysis.

By construction, SSA decomposes the original time series into orthogonal components. Usually, the components also represent intuitive contributions to the time series, such as a trend, various oscillation modes and noise.

We start our analysis of the SSA technique by investigating signal separation into orthogonal subspaces. From now on, due to space limitations, we will focus our attention to the “right eigenmodes”, $u_k(t)$. By symmetry, equivalent results are valid for the “left eigenmodes”, $v_k(\xi)$. Assume that the time series can be decomposed as $f(t) = \sum_i f_i(t)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi f_i(t + \xi) f_j(t' + \xi) &= C_1 \cdot \delta_{ij} \\ \int_{\Omega_W} dt f_i(t + \xi) f_j(t + \xi') &= C_2 \cdot \delta_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$\forall t, t' \in \Omega_W, \xi, \xi' \in \Omega_{T-W}$. Then the t -covariance kernel also decompose as $S = \sum_i S_i$, where S_i corresponds to f_i . Since $\int_{\Omega_W} dt S_i(t, t') S_j(t', t'') = 0$ if $i \neq j$, it follows that $S_i u = \lambda u$ implies $u \in \mathcal{N}(S_j)$, $j \neq i, \lambda \neq 0$. An eigenfunction of S_i is therefore also an eigenfunction of S , i.e., $S_i u = \lambda u$ implies $S u = \lambda u$. Since S a symmetric operator, $u_i \perp u_j$ when $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, which guarantees that a Schmidt decomposition is unique up to a rotation of eigenfunctions with identical singular value. It follows that if $f(t) = \sum_i f_i(t)$, Eq. 6 is fulfilled and $f_i(t)$ and $f_j(t)$ have disjoint spectra, then the SSA decomposition in Eq. 2 is a direct sum of the decompositions of the individual time series. In this case $f_i(t)$ and $f_j(t)$ are called *strongly separable*. If the spectra are not disjoint, but Eq. 6 is fulfilled, $f_i(t)$ and $f_j(t)$ are called *weakly separable* (using the same notation as in [6]).

An important implication of the above analysis is that, for periodic functions, when the total time frame T and the time window W is

chosen such that $T - W = n\tau$ and $W = n'\tau$ (where τ is the period and $n, n' \in \mathbf{Z}^+$), the singular spectrum $\sqrt{\lambda_k}$ is identical to the Fourier coefficients of $f(t)$. Furthermore, if the Fourier coefficients are distinct, then the eigenfunctions $u_k(t)$ are identical to the basis functions in the Fourier expansion. Similar results hold asymptotically in the infinite time frame limit.

To understand the SSA procedure, it is essential to further analyze the characteristics of the orthogonal eigenfunctions $u_k(t)$. We start by the following trivial observation:

$$f((\xi + \xi') + t) = f(\xi + (\xi' + t)). \quad (7)$$

Using this relation in Eq. 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_k} v_k(\xi) u_k(\xi' + t) &= \\ \sum_{k=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_k} v_k(\xi + \xi') u_k(t). \end{aligned}$$

Apply the projection operator $\int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi v_l(\xi)$, use the orthogonality of $v_k(\xi)$, subtract $u_l(t)$, divide by ξ' , and finally let $\xi' \rightarrow 0$. Technically, a problem appears when integrating $v_k(\xi + \xi')$ over $\xi \in \Omega_{T-W}$. The function is not defined when $\xi + \xi' \notin \Omega_{T-W}$. However, since $\xi' \rightarrow 0$, using any smooth continuation of v_k gives equivalent results. Alternatively, Eq. 7 could be replaced by $\partial_t f(\xi + t) = \partial_\xi f(\xi + t)$. Either way, we find:

$$\partial_t u_k(t) = \sum_{l=1}^d A_{kl} u_l(t), \quad (8)$$

where

$$A_{kl} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_k}} \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi v_k(\xi) \partial_\xi v_l(\xi)$$

does not depend on t . Note the connection between A and the cross-correlation function.

Projecting Eq. 8 onto $u_k(t)$ also gives:

$$A_{kl} = \int_{\Omega_W} dt u_l(t) \partial_t u_k(t)$$

which clearly shows the symmetric relation between the left and right principal eigenfunctions.

From Eq. 8 it is clear that polynomial, exponential and harmonic functions show especially simple (finite) spectra during SSA. In the more general case, the SSA procedure decomposes the time series into an optimal (infinite) linear combination of polynomial, exponential and harmonic functions. This has previously been discovered by others, but the approach taken in this paper is quite different and arguably more straight forward. For a discussion on related work see [4, 6].

We now show a connection between the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and an underlying dynamical system from which the time series is generated. Let $\mathbf{f}(t)$ be a solution to a linear system of differential equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{f}(t),$$

and let a scalar time series $f(t)$ be defined by some linear projection of $\mathbf{f}(t)$, $f = \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{f}$, where $\mathbf{p}$ is non-degenerate in the sense that all oscillation modes that appears in $\mathbf{f}(t)$ are also present in $f(t)$. We shall now prove that $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ have the same spectra. The vector function $\mathbf{f}(t)$ can be decomposed as:

$$\mathbf{f}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^d \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_k} \mathbf{w}_k \tilde{u}_k(t), \quad (9)$$

where t is restricted to the interval Ω_W . Since $\mathbf{f}(\xi + t) = \exp(\mathbf{M}\xi) \mathbf{f}(t)$, we have

$$f(\xi + t) = \sum_{k=1}^d \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_k} (\mathbf{p}^T \exp\{\mathbf{M}\xi\} \mathbf{w}_k) \tilde{u}_k(t).$$

Comparing this expression to Eq. 2 and use the uniqueness of the SVD expansion, gives

$v_k(\xi) = C_k \cdot \sum_l R_{kl} (\mathbf{p}^T \exp\{\mathbf{M}\xi\} \mathbf{w}_l)$, where C_k is a normalization constant and $\mathbf{R}$ is some rotation matrix which rotates elements within the equivalence classes defined by identical singular values. This further shows that $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{u}(t)$, and therefore the time derivative of Eq. 9 gives:

$$\sum_{kl} \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_k} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{w}_k R_{kl} u_l(t) = \sum_{klm} \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_k} \mathbf{w}_k R_{kl} A_{lm} u_m(t).$$

Using the orthogonality of $u_k(t)$ and $\mathbf{w}_k$, gives

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^T \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W} \tilde{\Lambda} \mathbf{R}, \quad (10)$$

where we use the matrix notation: $\tilde{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_1}, \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_2}, \dots)$, $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots)$.

Since $(\mathbf{W} \tilde{\Lambda} \mathbf{R}) (\mathbf{R}^T \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T) = \mathbf{1}$, Eq. 10 implies that $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ have identical spectra.

Note that this line of argument is similar to the local linear analysis of dynamical systems, used as part of the proof delay coordinate embedding theorems [7]. It also shows a straight forward connection between PCA of a set of time series from a system of ODEs, and a SSA analysis of a projection from the system.

Though natural in the discrete case, the definition of the trajectory function as $X(\xi, t) = f(\xi + t)$ is somewhat arbitrary in our analysis. Why not $X(\xi, t) = f(\xi t)$, for example? We can use this arbitrariness both to generalize SSA and to gain better theoretical understanding of the procedure. Recall the definition of a (local) transformation group (see e.g., [8]:

Definition 1 *A transformation group is a continuous Lie group G and a set $M \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ along with a smooth map $\Psi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies, for every $g, h \in G, x \in M$,*

$$\Psi(g, \Psi(h, x)) = \Psi(g \circ h, x), \quad (11)$$

together with the existence of an identity element e and an inverse g^{-1} for all $g \in G$.

In this paper, the elements of the transformation group is spanned by a parameter ξ (we have a one-parameter group). We will sometimes use the compact notation:

$$\Psi(g(\xi), x) \equiv \Psi_\xi x.$$

We also chose the parametrization such that $g(0) = e$.

A one-parameter transformation group defines a vector field $\mathbf{V} = \sum_i \zeta_i(x) \partial_{x_i}$:

$$\mathbf{V}|_x = \left. \frac{d}{d\xi} \right|_{\xi=0} \Psi(\xi, x),$$

which formally is “solved” as

$$\Psi(\xi, x) = \exp\{\xi \mathbf{V}\} x.$$

The vector field is therefore called the *infinitesimal generator* of the one-parameter transformation group. Note that the exponential map also implies $g(\xi) \circ g(\xi') = g(\xi + \xi')$.

The transformation group also generates orbits through every point $x \in M$, defined as $\phi(\xi) = \Psi(\xi, x)$. The orbits are solutions to a system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi \phi_i(\xi) &= \zeta_i(\phi(\xi)) \\ \phi(0) &= x, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the explicit representation of the vector field is used. Eq. 12 can be used to derive explicit expressions for the transformation group corresponding to a vector field.

Using this framework, the SSA procedure may be generalized in the following way. Consider a trajectory function X_G , constructed from a one-parameter transformation group Ψ_ξ acting on $M = \Omega_W$, with the continuous Lie group G :

$$X_G(\xi, t) = f(\Psi_\xi t), \quad (13)$$

where $\Psi : \Omega_{T-W} \times \Omega_W \rightarrow \Omega_T$. Eq 11 provides a relation equivalent to Eq. 7:

$$f(\Psi_\xi(\Psi_{\xi'}t)) = f(\Psi_{\xi+\xi'}t), \quad (14)$$

Eq. 14 can be used to find a system of differential equations, equivalent to Eq. 8, in terms of the infinitesimal generator of the transformation group:

$$\mathcal{L}[u_k(t)] = \sum_{l=1}^d A_{kl} u_l(t), \quad (15)$$

where $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$ expresses a Lie derivative with respect to the transformation group. Since $u_k(t)$ is a scalar function (the index k is fixed), $\mathcal{L}[u_k] = \zeta(t)\partial_t u_k(t)$, where $\zeta(t)\partial_t$ is the vector field generating the one-parameter transformation group. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is now defined as

$$A_{kl} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_k}} \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi v_k(\xi) \partial_\xi v_l(\xi).$$

Again, $\mathbf{A}$ is independent of t . If the time series is defined as a linear projection $f = \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{f}$ and $\mathcal{L}[\mathbf{f}(t)] = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{f}$, an equivalent analysis as above shows that $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ have identical spectra.

Lie groups was originally developed to analyze symmetries in differential equations, see e.g., [8]. To make a direct connection between this theory and the analysis above, assume that $f(t)$ is a solution to some linear differential equation, i.e., $D_t f(t) = 0$ where D_t is a linear operator. Assume further that Ψ_ξ is a symmetry group of the differential operator D_t , then $D_t f(t) = 0$ implies $D_t(\Psi_\xi f(t)) = 0$. If the transformation group Ψ_ξ is used to construct the trajectory function, then the principal eigenfunctions $u_k(t)$ will also satisfy the same differential equation, $D_t u_k(t) = 0$. This can be seen by noting that the t-covariance kernel can be written explicitly as

$$S(t, t') = \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi f(\Psi_\xi t) f(\Psi_\xi t'). \quad (16)$$

Since $D_t S(t, t') = 0$, it follows from the eigenvalue problem in Eq. 5 that $D_t u_k(t) = 0$, if $\lambda_k \neq 0$.

The analysis also shows how the global transformation group, used in the construction of the trajectory function, affects the eigenmodes via the infinitesimal generator. Let the vector field be defined by $\mathbf{V} = \zeta(t)\partial_t$. The relation between the eigenmodes in Eq. 15 and Eq. 8 is then given by a variable transformation, $\tau = \int^t \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)}$. The general solutions is on the form

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \exp\left\{\mathbf{A} \int^t \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)}\right\} \mathbf{u}_0. \quad (17)$$

Eq. 17 reflects the fact that up to an isomorphism, there are only two connected one-parameter Lie groups, $\mathbf{R}$ and $SO(2)$, corresponding to real respective imaginary eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}$, see [8] for details.

In the standard SSA, time translations are used to construct the trajectory function, corresponding to $\Psi(\xi, t) = \xi + t$, $\mathbf{V} = \partial_t$ and $\mathbf{u}(t) = \exp\{\mathbf{A}t\} \mathbf{u}_0$. Using scale transformations, $\Psi(\xi, t) = e^\xi t$, corresponds to $\mathbf{V} = t\partial_t$ and $\mathbf{u}(t) = \exp\{\mathbf{A} \ln(t)\} \mathbf{u}_0$, which contain functions on the form t^α (scaling functions) and $\sin(\omega \ln(t))$.

In fact, any smooth vector field generates a transformation group, which may only be locally defined. For example, consider $\zeta(t) = t^\alpha$ for some constant $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha \neq 1$. Using Eq. 12 and Eq. 17, we then have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} &= t^\alpha \partial_t \\ \Psi(\xi, t) &= (\beta\xi + t^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ \mathbf{u}(t) &= \exp\{\mathbf{A}\beta^{-1}t^\beta\} \mathbf{u}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = 1 - \alpha$.

For completeness, we finish this paper by a further generalization of the SSA. Consider a smooth function $\psi : \Omega_W \times \Omega_{T-W} \rightarrow \Omega_T$, and construct the trajectory function as $X(\xi, t) =$

$f(\psi(\xi, t))$. We assume the mapping to be of maximal rank, i.e., have a non-vanishing Jacobian. The implicit function theorem then ensures that in the neighborhood of each point on a curve defined by $\psi(\xi, t) = \text{const.}$, ξ can be expressed as a function of t and vice versa, i.e., the mapping ψ defines an implicit one-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbf{R}^2$. We assume $\psi(0, t) = t$. For small ξ' , we then use the smoothness of ψ to write

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\xi, \psi(\xi', t)) &= \psi(\xi + h(t)g(\xi, t)(\xi')^\kappa, t) \\ \psi(\xi', t) &= t + h(t)(\xi')^\kappa,\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

which is valid to order $\kappa \geq 1$ in ξ' for some functions h and g . The derivative of the first relation in Eq. 18 gives an explicit expression for $g(\xi, t)$:

$$g(\xi, t) = \frac{\partial_t \psi(\xi, t)}{\partial_\xi \psi(\xi, t)}$$

Note that if $h(t)g(\xi, t) = 1$ and $\kappa = 1$, then ψ is a transformation group according to Definition 1. Given a function $g(\xi, t)$ we can also find the global mapping ψ by solving the linear first order PDE (using for example the method of characteristics):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \psi(\xi, t) - g(\xi, t) \partial_\xi \psi(\xi, t) &= 0 \\ \psi(0, t) &= t,\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

corresponding to Eq. 12 above. Using Eq. 18 we derive the structural differential equations for the principal eigenfunctions

$$\partial_t u_k(t) = \sum_l A_{kl}(t) u_l(t) \quad (20)$$

where

$$A_{kl}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_k}} \int_{\Omega_{T-W}} d\xi g(\xi, t) v_k(\xi) \partial_\xi v_l(\xi),$$

which in this case dependent on t . The time dependence of $\mathbf{A}$ in Eq. 20 makes the

equations hard to analyze in general. Again it worth noting that an equivalent approach would be to use the relation

$$\partial_\xi \psi(\xi, t) \partial_\xi f(\psi(\xi, t)) = \partial_t \psi(\xi, t) \partial_t f(\psi(\xi, t)),$$

which is valid for all smooth functions f (especially $f(x) = x$ which gives Eq. 19).

There are a number of different ways to further generalize SSA along the lines of this paper. The perhaps most natural is to consider multi-dimensional transformation groups and/or multi-dimensional data fields. This would require an extension of the SVD to tensor decomposition. This is not straightforward [9], but generalized versions of the SVD does exist [10].

Finally, the author would like to thank Steen Rasmussen, for support as well as valuable discussions and perspectives. The author would also like to acknowledge grant support from U.S. department of Energy.

References

- [1] D.S. Broomhead and G.P. King, *Physica D*, 20:217–236, 1986.
- [2] R. Vautard and M. Ghil, *Physica D*, 35:395–424, 1989.
- [3] M. Ghil *et. al.*, *Reviews in Geophysics*, in press, 2001.
- [4] Varadi *et. al.*, *Astrophys. J.*, 526, 1052–1061, 1999.
- [5] J.B. Elsner and A.A. Tsonis, Plenum Press, New York, 1996.
- [6] N. Golyandina, V. Nekrutkin and A. Zhigljavsky, *Analysis of Time Series Structure*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, New York, 2001.

- [7] F. Takens, in Proceedings of the Symposium on Dynamical Systems and Turbulence, University of Warwick, 1979-1980, edited by D.A. Rand and L.S. Young, Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [8] P. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [9] T.G. Kolda, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 23 (1): 243–255, 2001.
- [10] L.D. Lathauwer, B.D. Moor and J. Vandewalle, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 21 (4): 1253–1278, 2000.